

## AGENDA ITEM

### REPORT TO EXECUTIVE SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

4 JANUARY 2011

### REPORT OF DIRECTOR OF LAW AND DEMOCRACY

## SELECT COMMITTEE WORK PROGRAMME

### SUMMARY

The report asks Executive Scrutiny Committee to consider allocating the review of Mental Health and Learning Disability to Health Select Committee

### RECOMMENDATIONS

Executive Scrutiny Committee is asked to refer the review of Mental Health and Learning Disability to Health Select Committee for in depth scrutiny.

### WORK PROGRAMME 2010/11

1. The current year's work programme has continued to focus on the Council's Efficiency, Improvement and Transformation (EIT) programme with Member involvement in all reviews.
2. Scrutiny Liaison Forum met on 10 February 2010 to review the suggestions for in depth scrutiny and prioritised topics for review. **Appendix 1** lists all the topics proposed for scrutiny. All reviews on the list have or will be subject to scrutiny in the current municipal year with the exception of:
  - Children and Young Peoples Assessment/ Field Work
  - Mental Health and Learning Disability
3. In addition, Executive Scrutiny Committee on 19 October took a decision to expedite some Year 3 EIT reviews into the current year.
4. Health Select Committee have recently concluded their review of Fair Access to Care and it is therefore proposed that the review of Mental Health and Learning Disability is allocated to this Committee to agree a scope and receive a presentation on initial base line information ahead of the elections next May. The pro forma for this topic considered by Scrutiny Liaison Forum is attached at **Appendix 2**.
5. It was the intention that the review of Children and Young Peoples Assessment/ Fieldwork was to be the next topic for the Children and Young People Select Committee. However, taking account of extended timescales on the review of youth services and also the Committee's input into the expedited review of Connexions from Year 3, it is proposed that Executive Scrutiny Committee incorporate this topic into the work programme for Year 3 following the May elections.

## FINANCIAL AND LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

There will be staffing implications in order to provide the necessary support for reviews.

### Director of Law and Democracy

**Name of Contact Officer:** Margaret Waggott  
**Telephone No:** 01642 527064  
**Email Address:** [margaret.waggott@stockton.gov.uk](mailto:margaret.waggott@stockton.gov.uk)

**Name of Contact Officer:** Judy Trainer  
**Telephone No:** 01642 528158  
**Email Address:** [judith.trainer@stockton.gov.uk](mailto:judith.trainer@stockton.gov.uk)

<u>Background Papers:</u>	None
<u>Ward(s) and Ward Councillors:</u>	Not Ward Specific
<u>Property Implications:</u>	None

## SCRUTINY WORK PROGRAMME 2010/11

Topic No.	Page No.	Suggested Topic	Public Interest	Impact	Council Efficiency & Performance	Keep in Context	Total	Weighted Total
1	7	Events, Arts & Tourism	3	3	3	3	12	3
2	9	Built & Natural Environment	3	3	3	3	12	3
3	11	Sports Leisure & Recreation	3	3	3	3	12	3
4	13	School Catering	3	2	3	3	11	2.8
5	15	Children & Young Peoples Assessment/ Field Work	2	3	3	3	11	2.8
6	17	Mental Health & Learning Disability	2	3	3	2	10	2.6
7	19	Mental Health Services	2	3	3	2	10	2.6
8	21	ICT Services	1	1	3	3	8	2.2
9	23	Building Asset Review	1	1	3	3	8	2.2
10	25	Procurement & Commissioning	1	1	3	3	8	2.2
11	27	Admin, Business Support	1	1	3	3	8	2.2
12	29	Cycling on Pavements	2	2	2	2	8	2
13	31	Outside Bodies	1	1	2	1	5	1.4

**SELECT COMMITTEE WORK PROGRAMME 2010/11  
SUGGESTED REVIEW – PRO FORMA**

**Summary of issue you wish to be scrutinised, including key concerns and outcome for scrutinising the topic?**

Mental Health and learning Disability

Services provided by or on behalf of the Council to adults with:-

- Mental Health Needs
- Learning Disabilities (LD)

Services will be reviewed in terms of being fit for purpose:-

- Providing value for money
- Being in line with Strategic intent of adult health and social care services, for example incorporating the principles of Personalisation and Self-Directed support.
- Identify areas for significant improvement innovation and transformation.

NOTE: ENTRIES BELOW RELATE TO ISSUE CATEGORIES OF THE PICK PROCESS.  
PLEASE REFER TO THE EXPLANATION NOTES TO THIS FORM FOR FURTHER INFORMATION.

**Public interest justification:**

The review will incorporate the evaluation of efficiency and value for money.

The Council's statutory duties will be considered in relation to service delivery.

**Impact on the social, economic and environmental well-being of the area:**

The wider impact of services on overall well being will be a consideration.

**Council performance and efficiency in this area (including organisation development) if known:**

Commissioning and provision arrangements for these client groups is very complex and, in some areas, traditional. Reviewing these arrangements in relation to client outcomes will identify areas for innovation and efficiency.

**Keep in Context (are other reviews taking place in this area?):**

Notice of an inspection of adult services is expected before October 2010.

CSED is carrying out a review of LD services with a view to assisting commissioners to develop a range of services based on housing with support.

Senior Managers are reviewing the current arrangements with Tees, Esk and Wear Valley Foundation Trust to provide Mental Health Services.

**Signed:**

**Date:** 3/2/2010

*E. Colanley*

*SENLEOP*

Office Use:

Pick score:

Considered by SLF: